32,825

32,826

32,827

32,828

32,829

32,830

32,831

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of petition	Product(s)
32,811	Basin Resources (UMWA)	Weston, CO	10/02/96	Mine Coal.
32,812	Petersburg Garment Co (Wkrs).	Petersburg, WV	09/27/96	Children's Wear.
32,813	1 ` '	Clifton, NJ	09/26/96	Distribute Products for an Affiliate.
32,814	Chatham Mills, Inc (Co.)	Pittsboro, NC	10/01/96	Woven Labels.
32,815	OPTO Technology, Inc (Wkrs).	Platteville, WI	09/20/96	Optical Switches, Optical Encoders.
32,816	Zyloware Corp. (Wkrs)	Long Island Cty, NY	08/07/96	Eye Glass Frames.
32,817	Ingersoll-Dresser Pumps (USWA).	Phillipsburg, NJ	07/30/96	Steel Castings.
32,818	Accuride Corp. (UAW)	Henderson, KY	09/03/96	Steel Truck Wheels.
32,819	The Dial Corp. (Wkrs)	Memphis, TN	09/18/96	Soap.
32,820	Mercury Industries, Inc (Wkrs).	Fayetteville, NC	09/27/96	Brushes & Leadwires—Power Tool Motors.
32,821	W.R. Grace & Co (Co)	New Castle, PA	09/18/96	Polystyrene.
32,822	Anchor Advance Products (Wkrs).	Morristown, TN	10/01/96	Cosmetic & Tooth Brushes, Containers.
32,823	Sunbeam Outdoor Prod- ucts (USWA).	Linton, IN	09/30/96	Wraught Iron Furniture.
32,824	Mueller Company (UPIU)	Decatur, IL	09/24/96	Valves—Water and Gas.

10/01/96

09/30/96

10/02/96

09/27/96

10/02/96

10/03/96

09/30/96

Transport Crude Oil.

Petroleum Products.

Aircrafts & Aircraft Parts.

Chromium Dioxide Magnetic Particles.

Electro-Mechanical & Solid State Control.

Men's Western Jeans.

Refine Petroleum.

APPENDIX—PETITIONS INSTITUTED ON 10/15/96

[FR Doc. 96-28494 Filed 11-5-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

(Wkrs)

(Co.).

ARCO Pipe Line Company

UNOCAL (Co.)

Lafayette Apparel (Co.)

Witco Corp. (Wkrs)

Crouzet Corp. (Co.)

Northrop Grumman

Commeric (UAW)

DuPont Holly Run Site

[TA-W-31,733; TA-W-31,733A; TA-W-31,733B; TA-W-31,733C; TA-W-31,733D]

Boise Cascade Corp., Emmett, Idaho; Boise Cascade Corp., Cascade, Idaho; Boise Cascade Corp., Council, Idaho; Boise Cascade Corp., Horseshoe, Idaho; Boise Cascade Corp., Boise, Idaho; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) as amended by the Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100–418), the Department of Labor issued a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance on February 13, 1996.

The certification notice was published in the Federal Register on February 28, 1996 (61 FR 18758).

At the request of a company official of Boise Cascade Corp. the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The Department is amending the certification to include worker separations at Boise Cascade Corp., Boise, Idaho. Workers at Boise Cascade offices in Boise, Idaho, were

engaged in employment related to production at previously certified plants of the subject firm.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-31,733A-D is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Boise Cascade Corp., Emmett, Cascade, Council, Horseshoe, and Boise, Idaho, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 7, 1994, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 22nd day of October, 1996.

Linda G. Poole,

Independence, KS

Sugarland, TX

Dallas, TX

Lafayette, TN

Newport, DE

Bradford, PA

Carrollton, TX

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–28489 Filed 11–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

[TA-W-32,585]

Dale Electronics, Inc., Bradford Electronics, Bradford, Pennsylvania; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On September 20, 1996, the Department issued a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to all workers of Dale Electronics, Bradford Electronics located in Bradford, Pennsylvania. The notice will soon be published in the Federal Register.

By letter of September 27, 1996, the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's findings. The petitioners presented new evidence that was not considered in the original determination. Additional new evidence was subsequently transmitted to the Department by officials of Dale Electronics in Bradford.

The workers at Dale Electronics produce electronic components. The initial TAA petition for workers at Dale Electronics was denied because criterion (3) of the Group Eligibility Requirements of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met. The subject firm did not import electronic components. Layoffs at the Bradford plant were attributable to the transfer of production to other domestic plants.

New findings on reconsideration show that the company does import electronic components.

Other new findings on reconsideration reveal that the company is shifting production of electronic components to their foreign manufacturing facilities and will import from those foreign locations.